Case 1:07-cv-10802-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/08/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	<u>C</u>		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
LARRY MARAJ AND DILENIA GALAN		DOCKET NO.	
Plain	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defer	ndants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvir 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			•
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth, a	erein in addition are marked wi	n to those paragraphs s th an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, LARRY MARAJ AND	DILENIA GA	LAN, by his/her/their a	ttorneys WORBY

GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	✓ Plaintiff, LARRY N	IARAJ (hereinafter the "Inj	ured Plaintiff"), is an individual a	and a
citizen of Ne	ew York residing at 110 Ha	rt Avenue, Yonkers, NY 1	0704	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	m in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of	.•
	_			

C	ase 1:07-cv-10802-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residir Plaintiff:		ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 704-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
riamum.	LARRY MARAJ, and brings injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff LARRY MARAJ.
	□ Parent □ Child □	Other:
4. Police Depa	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 5/15/2 artment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
	Please be as specific as possible when for	illing in the following dates and locations
The Wor		□ The Barge
Location(s)	(i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;
	about <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>5/15/2002</u> ; rely <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximat	ely <u>246</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
From on or	v York City Medical Examiner's Office about until,	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
Approximat	tely hours per day; for tely days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	sh Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
	about;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximat	ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	\square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	\square BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
,	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	
L / WORLD INADE COMPANI, L.F.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10802-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify): ; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.		
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above solility, and asserts each element necessary to est		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10802-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Chronic Cough; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; and Sinus Problems Date of onset: 10/30/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 10/30/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Heartburn; Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD Date of onset: 10/30/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 10/30/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

dama	iges:	υ	1	
==== V	Pain and suffering	=====		
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
▽	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Larry Maraj and Dilenia Galan

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 6, 2007

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	Larry Maraj (and Wife, Dilenia Galan),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===:	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP